# Reframing Control as an Inference Problem

CS 285

Instructor: Sergey Levine
UC Berkeley

Today's Lecture

1. Does reinforcement learning and optimal control provide a reasonable model of human behavior?

2. Is there a better explanation?

3. Can we derive optimal control, reinforcement learning, and planning as *probabilistic inference*?

4. How does this change our RL algorithms?

5. (next lecture) We'll see this is crucial for *inverse* reinforcement learning

- Goals:
  - Understand the connection between inference and control
  - Understand how specific RL algorithms can be instantiated in this framework
  - Understand why this might be a good idea

Optimal Control as a Model of Human Behavior

Muybridge (c. 1870)

Mombaur et al. '09

Li & Todorov '06

Ziebart '08

$$\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_T = \arg \max_{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

optimize this to explain the data

$$\pi = \arg \max_{\pi} E_{\mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t), \mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)} [r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

$$\mathbf{a}_t \sim \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$$

What if the data is **not** optimal?



some mistakes matter more than others!

behavior is **stochastic**

but good behavior is still the most likely

A probabilistic graphical model of decision making

$$\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_T = \arg \max_{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{t+1} = f(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$p(\underbrace{\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}}_{\tau}) = ?? \qquad \text{no assumption of optimal behavior!}$$

$$p(\tau | \mathcal{O}_{1:T}) \qquad\qquad p(\mathcal{O}_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

$$p(\tau | \mathcal{O}_{1:T}) = \frac{p(\tau, \mathcal{O}_{1:T})}{p(\mathcal{O}_{1:T})}$$

$$\propto p(\tau) \prod_t \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)) = p(\tau) \exp\left(\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right)$$

Why is this interesting?

- Can model suboptimal behavior (important for inverse RL)
- Can apply inference algorithms to solve control and planning problems
- Provides an explanation for why stochastic behavior might be preferred (useful for exploration and transfer learning)

Inference = planning

$$p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \propto \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

how to do inference?

1. compute backward messages $\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

2. compute policy $p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T})$

3. compute forward messages $\alpha_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = p(\mathbf{s}_t|\mathcal{O}_{1:t-1})$

Control as Inference

# Inference = planning

$$p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \propto \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

how to do inference?

1. compute backward messages $\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

2. compute policy $p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T})$

3. compute forward messages $\alpha_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = p(\mathbf{s}_t|\mathcal{O}_{1:t-1})$

Backward messages

$$p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \propto \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$= \int p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}, \mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) d\mathbf{s}_{t+1}$$

$$= \int p(\mathcal{O}_{t+1:T}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) d\mathbf{s}_{t+1}$$

for $t = T - 1$ to 1:

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) E_{\mathbf{s}_{t+1}\sim p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[\beta_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$$

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = E_{\mathbf{a}_t\sim p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

$$p(\mathcal{O}_{t+1:T}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) = \int \underbrace{p(\mathcal{O}_{t+1:T}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1})}_{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1})} p(\mathbf{a}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) d\mathbf{a}_{t+1}$$

which actions are likely *a priori*
(assume uniform for now)

A closer look at the backward pass

for $t = T - 1$ to 1:

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) E_{\mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)} [\beta_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$$

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim p(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)} [\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

let $V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$

let $Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

$$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)) d\mathbf{a}_t$$

$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) \to \max_{\mathbf{a}_t} Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ as $Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ gets bigger!

value iteration algorithm:

1. set $Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \gamma E[V(\mathbf{s}')]$
2. set $V(\mathbf{s}) \leftarrow \max_{\mathbf{a}} Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

"optimistic" transition
(not a good idea!)

$$Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \overbrace{\log E[\exp(V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}))]}$$

deterministic transition: $Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})$

we'll come back to the stochastic case later!

Backward pass summary

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

probability that we can be optimal at steps t through T given that we take action $\mathbf{a}_t$ in state $\mathbf{s}_t$

for $t = T - 1$ to 1:

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) E_{\mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[\beta_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$$ compute recursively from $t = T$ to $t = 1$

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

let $V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$

let $Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

log of β_t is "Q-function-like"

The action prior

remember this?

$$p(\mathcal{O}_{t+1:T}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) = \int \underbrace{p(\mathcal{O}_{t+1:T}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1})}_{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1})} p(\mathbf{a}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_{t+1}) d\mathbf{a}_{t+1}$$

("soft max")

what if the action prior is not uniform?

$$V(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \log p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))\mathbf{a}_t$$

$$Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \log E[\exp(V(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}))]$$

let $\tilde{Q}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \log p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) + \log E[\exp(V(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}))]$

$$V(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(\tilde{Q}(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))\mathbf{a}_t \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad V(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \log p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))\mathbf{a}_t$$

can **always** fold the action prior into the reward! uniform action prior
can be assumed without loss of generality

Policy computation

$$p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \propto \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

2. compute policy $p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T})$

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t)$$

$$p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T}) = \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$$

$$= p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{t:T})$$

$$= \frac{p(\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_t|\mathcal{O}_{t:T})}{p(\mathbf{s}_t|\mathcal{O}_{t:T})}$$

$$\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \frac{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)}$$

$$= \frac{p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_t)p(\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_t)/p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T})}{p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t)p(\mathbf{s}_t)/p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T})}$$

$$= \frac{p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_t)}{p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T}|\mathbf{s}_t)} \frac{p(\mathbf{a}_t, \mathbf{s}_t)}{p(\mathbf{s}_t)} = \frac{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)} p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$$

Policy computation with value functions

for $t = T - 1$ to 1:

$$Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \log E[\exp(V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}))]$$

$$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))\mathbf{a}_t$$

$$\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \frac{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}{\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)}$$

$$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

$$Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - V_t(\mathbf{s}_t)) = \exp(A_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

Policy computation summary

$$\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - V_t(\mathbf{s}_t)) = \exp(A_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

with temperature: $\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \exp(\frac{1}{\alpha}Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - \frac{1}{\alpha}V_t(\mathbf{s}_t)) = \exp(\frac{1}{\alpha}A_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$

- Natural interpretation: better actions are more probable
- Random tie-breaking
- Analogous to Boltzmann exploration
- Approaches greedy policy as temperature decreases

Forward messages

$$p(\mathcal{O}_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \propto \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$\alpha_1(\mathbf{s}_1) = p(\mathbf{s}_1)$ (usually known)

$\alpha_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = p(\mathbf{s}_t | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1})$

$$= \int p(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{s}_{t-1}, \mathbf{a}_{t-1} | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1}) d\mathbf{s}_{t-1} d\mathbf{a}_{t-1} = \int p(\mathbf{s}_t | \mathbf{s}_{t-1}, \mathbf{a}_{t-1}, \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1}) p(\mathbf{a}_{t-1} | \mathbf{s}_{t-1}, \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1}) p(\mathbf{s}_{t-1} | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1}) d\mathbf{s}_{t-1} d\mathbf{a}_{t-1}$$

$$= \int p(\mathbf{s}_t | \mathbf{s}_{t-1}, \mathbf{a}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{a}_{t-1} | \mathbf{s}_{t-1}, \mathcal{O}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{s}_{t-1} | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1}) d\mathbf{s}_{t-1} d\mathbf{a}_{t-1}$$

$$\underbrace{\alpha_{t-1}(\mathbf{s}_{t-1})}$$

$$p(\mathbf{a}_{t-1} | \mathbf{s}_{t-1}, \mathcal{O}_{t-1}) p(s_{t-1} | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1}) = \frac{p(\mathcal{O}_{t-1} | \mathbf{s}_{t-1}, \mathbf{a}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{a}_{t-1} | \mathbf{s}_{t-1})}{p(\mathcal{O}_{t-1} | \mathbf{s}_{t-1})} \frac{p(\mathcal{O}_{t-1} | \mathbf{s}_{t-1}) p(\mathbf{s}_{t-1} | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-2})}{p(\mathcal{O}_{t-1} | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-2})}$$

what if we want $p(\mathbf{s}_t | \mathcal{O}_{1:T})$?

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

$$p(\mathbf{s}_t | \mathcal{O}_{1:T}) = \frac{p(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T})}{p(\mathcal{O}_{1:T})} = \frac{p(\mathcal{O}_{t:T} | \mathbf{s}_t) p(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1})}{p(\mathcal{O}_{1:T})} \propto \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t) \underbrace{p(\mathbf{s}_t | \mathcal{O}_{1:t-1})}_{\alpha_t(\mathbf{s}_t)} p(\mathcal{O}_{1:t-1}) \propto \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t) \alpha_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

Forward/backward message intersection

$$p(\mathbf{s}_t) \propto \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)\alpha_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

states with high probability of reaching goal

states with high probability of being reached from initial state (with high reward)

state marginals

Forward/backward message intersection

(a) setup

TIP 2   TIP 1

Li & Todorov, 2006

(b) spatial paths

TIP 2

TIP 1

(c) movement variability

TIP 2

TIP 1

states with high probability of
reaching goal

$$p(\mathbf{s}_t) \propto \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)\alpha_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$$

states with high probability of
being reached from initial state
(with high reward)

state marginals

Summary

1. Probabilistic graphical model for optimal control

2. Control = inference (similar to HMM, EKF, etc.)

3. Very similar to dynamic programming, value iteration, etc. (but "soft")

Control as **Variational** Inference

The optimism problem

for $t = T - 1$ to 1:

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) E_{\mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[\beta_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$$

$$\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[\beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)]$$

"optimistic" transition
(not a good idea!)

$$Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \log E[\exp(V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}))]$$

let $V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t)$

let $Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = \log \beta_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

why did this happen?

the inference problem: $p(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}|\mathcal{O}_{1:T})$

marginalizing and conditioning, we get: $p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T})$ (the policy)

"given that you obtained high reward, what was your action probability?"

marginalizing and conditioning, we get: $p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T}) \neq p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

"given that you obtained high reward, what was your transition probability?"

Addressing the optimism problem

marginalizing and conditioning, we get: $p(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T})$ (the policy) ⟵ we want this

"given that you obtained high reward, what was your action probability?"

marginalizing and conditioning, we get: $p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t, \mathcal{O}_{1:T}) \neq p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$ ⟵ but not this!

"given that you obtained high reward, what was your transition probability?"

"given that you obtained high reward, what was your action probability,

given that your transition probability did not change?"

can we find another distribution $q(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T})$ that is close to $p(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}|\mathcal{O}_{1:T})$ but has dynamics $p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

where have we seen this before?

let $\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{O}_{1:T}$ and $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T})$ find $q(\mathbf{z})$ to approximate $p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$

let's try variational inference!

Control via variational inference

let $q(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}) = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_t p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$

same dynamics and
initial state as p

only new thing

let $\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{O}_{1:T}$ and $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T})$

$p(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}|\mathcal{O}_{1:T})$

$p(\mathcal{O}_t|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

$p(\mathbf{z}|\mathbf{x})$

$p(\mathbf{s}_1)$ $p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

$q(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T})$

$q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$

$q(\mathbf{z})$

$p(\mathbf{s}_1)$ $p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$

The variational lower bound

$$\log p(\mathbf{x}) \geq E_{\mathbf{z} \sim q(\mathbf{z})}[\log p(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}) - \log q(\mathbf{z})]$$

let $\mathbf{x} = \mathcal{O}_{1:T}$ and $\mathbf{z} = (\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T})$

the entropy $\mathcal{H}(q)$

let $q(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}) = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_t p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) q(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)$

$$\log p(\mathcal{O}_{1:T}) \geq E_{(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}) \sim q}[\log p(\mathbf{s}_1) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(\mathcal{O}_t | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)$$

$$- \log p(\mathbf{s}_1) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1} | \mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} \log q(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t)]$$

$$= E_{(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}) \sim q} \left[\sum_t r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - \log q(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t) \right]$$

$$= \sum_t E_{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \sim q} [r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{a}_t | \mathbf{s}_t))]$$

maximize reward and maximize action entropy!

Optimizing the variational lower bound

let $q(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T}) = p(\mathbf{s}_1) \prod_t p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)$ $\qquad$ $\log p(\mathcal{O}_{1:T}) \geq \sum_t E_{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \sim q}[r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))]$

base case: solve for $q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)$:

$$q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T) = \arg\max E_{\mathbf{s}_T \sim q(\mathbf{s}_T)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_T \sim q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)}[r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T)] + \mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T))\right]$$

$$= \arg\max E_{\mathbf{s}_T \sim q(\mathbf{s}_T)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_T \sim q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)}[r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T) - \log q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)]\right]$$

optimized when $q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T) \propto \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T))$

$$q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T) = \frac{\exp(r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T))}{\int \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}))d\mathbf{a}} = \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T) - V(\mathbf{s}_T))$$

$$V(\mathbf{s}_T) = \log \int \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T))d\mathbf{a}_T$$

$$E_{\mathbf{s}_T \sim q(\mathbf{s}_T)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_T \sim q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)}[r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T) - \log q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)]\right] = E_{\mathbf{s}_T \sim q(\mathbf{s}_T)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_T \sim q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)}[V(\mathbf{s}_T)]\right]$$

Optimizing the variational lower bound

$$\log p(\mathcal{O}_{1:T}) \geq \sum_t E_{(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \sim q}\left[r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))\right]$$

$$q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T) = \frac{\exp(r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T))}{\int \exp(r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}))d\mathbf{a}} = \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T) - V(\mathbf{s}_T))$$

$$E_{\mathbf{s}_T \sim q(\mathbf{s}_T)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_T \sim q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)}[r(\mathbf{s}_T, \mathbf{a}_T) - \log q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)]\right] = E_{\mathbf{s}_T \sim q(\mathbf{s}_T)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_T \sim q(\mathbf{a}_T|\mathbf{s}_T)}[V(\mathbf{s}_T)]\right]$$

$$q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \arg\max E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim q(\mathbf{s}_t)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + E_{\mathbf{s}_{t+1} \sim p(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}|\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[V(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]] + \mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))\right]$$

$$= \arg\max E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim q(\mathbf{s}_t)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)] + \mathcal{H}(q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))\right]$$

regular Bellman backup

$$= \arg\max E_{\mathbf{s}_t \sim q(\mathbf{s}_t)}\left[E_{\mathbf{a}_t \sim q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - \log q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)]\right]$$

not optimistic

$$Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + E[(V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$$

optimized when $q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \propto \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$

$$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))d\mathbf{a}_t$$

$$q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - V(\mathbf{s}_t))$$

Backward pass summary - variational

for $t = T - 1$ to 1:

$$Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + E[(V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$$

$$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)) d\mathbf{a}_t$$

value iteration algorithm:

1. set $Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \gamma E[V(\mathbf{s}')]$
2. set $V(\mathbf{s}) \leftarrow \max_{\mathbf{a}} Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

soft value iteration algorithm:

1. set $Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \gamma E[V(\mathbf{s}')]$
2. set $V(\mathbf{s}) \leftarrow \text{soft} \max_{\mathbf{a}} Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

Summary

$$p(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T} | \mathcal{O}_{1:T})$$

$$q(\mathbf{s}_{1:T}, \mathbf{a}_{1:T})$$

$$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)) d\mathbf{a}_t \qquad Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + E[(V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$$

variants:

discounted SOC: $Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \gamma E[V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$

explicit temperature: $V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \alpha \log \int \exp\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)\right) d\mathbf{a}_t$

For more details, see: Levine. (2018). Reinforcement Learning and Control as Probabilistic Inference: Tutorial and Review.

Algorithms for RL as Inference

Q-learning with soft optimality

standard Q-learning: $\phi \leftarrow \phi + \alpha \nabla_\phi Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})(r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \gamma V(\mathbf{s}') - Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}))$

target value: $V(\mathbf{s}') = \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')$

soft Q-learning: $\phi \leftarrow \phi + \alpha \nabla_\phi Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})(r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \gamma V(\mathbf{s}') - Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}))$

target value: $V(\mathbf{s}') = \text{soft} \max_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}') = \log \int \exp(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')) d\mathbf{a}'$

$\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) = \exp(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) - V(\mathbf{s})) = \exp(A(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}))$

1. take some action $\mathbf{a}_i$ and observe $(\mathbf{s}_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{s}'_i, r_i)$, add it to $\mathcal{R}$
2. sample mini-batch $\{\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j, \mathbf{s}'_j, r_j\}$ from $\mathcal{R}$ uniformly
3. compute $y_j = r_j + \gamma \text{soft} \max_{\mathbf{a}'_j} Q_{\phi'}(\mathbf{s}'_j, \mathbf{a}'_j)$ using *target* network $Q_{\phi'}$
4. $\phi \leftarrow \phi - \alpha \sum_j \frac{dQ_\phi}{d\phi}(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j)(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}_j, \mathbf{a}_j) - y_j)$
5. update ϕ': copy ϕ every N steps, or Polyak average $\phi' \leftarrow \tau\phi' + (1-\tau)\phi$

Policy gradient with soft optimality

$$\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) = \exp(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) - V(\mathbf{s})) \text{ optimizes } \sum_t E_{\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)] + E_{\pi(\mathbf{s}_t)}[\mathcal{H}(\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t))]$$

policy entropy

intuition: $\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \propto \exp(Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}))$ when π minimizes $D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})\|\frac{1}{Z}\exp(Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})))$

$$D_{\mathrm{KL}}(\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})\|\frac{1}{Z}\exp(Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}))) = E_{\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s})}[Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})] - \mathcal{H}(\pi)$$

often referred to as "entropy regularized" policy gradient

combats premature entropy collapse

turns out to be closely related to soft Q-learning:
see Haarnoja et al. '17 and Schulman et al. '17

Ziebart et al. '10 "Modeling Interaction via the Principle of Maximum Causal Entropy"

Policy gradient vs Q-learning

policy gradient derivation:

$$J(\theta) = \sum_t E_{\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)] + E_{\pi(\mathbf{s}_t)}[\mathcal{H}(\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}_t))] = \sum_t E_{\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - \log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)]$$

$$E_{\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}[-\log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)]$$

$$\nabla_\theta \left[\sum_t E_{\pi(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)}[r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - \underline{\log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t)}] \right]$$

can ignore
(baseline)

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \sum_t \nabla_\theta \log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \left(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \left(\sum_{t'=t+1}^{T} r(\mathbf{s}_{t'}, \mathbf{a}_{t'}) - \log \pi(\mathbf{a}_{t'}|\mathbf{s}_{t'}) \right) - \log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \underline{\cancel{1}} \right)$$

$$\underbrace{\qquad\qquad}_{\approx Q(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1})}$$

recall: $\log \pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - V(\mathbf{s}_t)$

$$\approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \sum_t (\nabla_\theta Q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) - \nabla_\theta V(\mathbf{s}_t)) \, (r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + Q(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1}) - Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \cancel{V(\mathbf{s}_t)})$$

Q-learning $\bigcirc -\dfrac{1}{N} \sum_i \sum_t \nabla_\theta Q(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) \left(r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + \mathop{\text{soft}\max}_{\mathbf{a}_{t+1}} Q(\mathbf{s}_{t+1}, \mathbf{a}_{t+1}) - Q(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) \right)$

descent (vs ascent)

off-policy correction

Benefits of soft optimality

- Improve exploration and prevent entropy collapse
- Easier to specialize (finetune) policies for more specific tasks
- Principled approach to break ties
- Better robustness (due to wider coverage of states)
- Can reduce to hard optimality as reward magnitude increases
- Good model for modeling human behavior (more on this later)

Review

- Reinforcement learning can be viewed as inference in a graphical model
  - Value function is a backward message
  - Maximize reward and entropy (the bigger the rewards, the less entropy matters)
  - Variational inference to remove optimism
- Soft Q-learning
- Entropy-regularized policy gradient

$$Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \gamma \operatorname{soft max}_{\mathbf{a}'} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}')$$

fit a model to estimate return

generate samples (i.e. run the policy)

improve the policy

$$\mathbf{a} = \arg \max_{\mathbf{a}} Q_\phi(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$$

Example Methods

Stochastic models for learning control

- How can we track *both* hypotheses?

Stochastic energy-based policies

Q-function: $Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$

$Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a})$

$\mathbf{a}$

$\pi(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{s}) \propto \exp(Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}))$

$\pi(\mathbf{a}_t|\mathbf{s}_t) = \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) - V_t(\mathbf{s}_t)) = \exp(A_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t))$

$Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) = r(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t) + E[V_{t+1}(\mathbf{s}_{t+1})]$

$V_t(\mathbf{s}_t) = \log \int \exp(Q_t(\mathbf{s}_t, \mathbf{a}_t)) \mathbf{a}_t$

Haarnoja*, Tang*, Abbeel, L., Reinforcement Learning with Deep
Energy-Based Policies. ICML 2017

Stochastic energy-based policies provide pretraining

Pretraining: reward = speed (any direction)
(one robot per trajectory)

DDPG (policy 1)
25 random seeds; noise added to actions

Soft Q-learning (fixed policy)
random seeds 0 - 24

epoch: 1

random initialization

pretrained with DDPG

pretrained with soft Q-learning

Wide hallway   Narrow hallway   U-shaped maze

— MaxEnt init   — random init   — DDPG init

Soft actor-critic

1. Q-function update
   Update Q-function to evaluate current policy:

$$Q(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) \leftarrow r(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{a}) + \mathbb{E}_{\mathbf{s}' \sim p_\mathbf{s}, \; \mathbf{a}' \sim \pi} \left[Q(\mathbf{s}', \mathbf{a}') - \log \pi(\mathbf{a}'|\mathbf{s}') \right]$$

   This converges to Q^π.

2. Update policy
   Update the policy with gradient of information projection:

$$\pi_{\text{new}} = \arg \min_{\pi'} \mathrm{D}_{\text{KL}} \left(\pi'(\cdot|\mathbf{s}) \; \middle\| \; \frac{1}{Z} \exp Q^{\pi_{\text{old}}}(\mathbf{s}, \cdot) \right)$$

   In practice, only take one gradient step on this objective

3. Interact with the world, collect more data

update messages

fit variational distribution

Haarnoja, Zhou, Hartikainen, Tucker, Ha, Tan, Kumar, Zhu, Gupta, Abbeel, L. **Soft Actor-Critic Algorithms and Applications**. '18

0 min   12 min   30 min   2 hours

Training time

sites.google.com/view/composing-real-world-policies/

Haarnoja, Pong, Zhou, Dalal, Abbeel, L. **Composable Deep Reinforcement Learning for Robotic Manipulation**. '18

After 2 hours of training

sites.google.com/view/composing-real-world-policies/

Haarnoja, Pong, Zhou, Dalal, Abbeel, L. **Composable Deep Reinforcement Learning for Robotic Manipulation**. '18

0 min

Haarnoja, Zhou, Ha, Tan, Tucker, L. **Learning to Walk via Deep Reinforcement Learning**. '19

Haarnoja, Zhou, Ha, Tan, Tucker, L. **Learning to Walk via Deep Reinforcement Learning**. '19

Soft optimality suggested readings

- Todorov. (2006). Linearly solvable Markov decision problems: one framework for reasoning about soft optimality.
- Todorov. (2008). General duality between optimal control and estimation: primer on the equivalence between inference and control.
- Kappen. (2009). Optimal control as a graphical model inference problem: frames control as an inference problem in a graphical model.
- Ziebart. (2010). Modeling interaction via the principle of maximal causal entropy: connection between soft optimality and maximum entropy modeling.
- Rawlik, Toussaint, Vijaykumar. (2013). On stochastic optimal control and reinforcement learning by approximate inference: temporal difference style algorithm with soft optimality.
- Haarnoja*, Tang*, Abbeel, L. (2017). Reinforcement learning with deep energy based models: soft Q-learning algorithm, deep RL with continuous actions and soft optimality
- Nachum, Norouzi, Xu, Schuurmans. (2017). Bridging the gap between value and policy based reinforcement learning.
- Schulman, Abbeel, Chen. (2017). Equivalence between policy gradients and soft Q-learning.
- Haarnoja, Zhou, Abbeel, L. (2018). Soft Actor-Critic: Off-Policy Maximum Entropy Deep Reinforcement Learning with a Stochastic Actor.
- Levine. (2018). Reinforcement Learning and Control as Probabilistic Inference: Tutorial and Review